

**Comment on: ‘Numerical estimates of the spectrum
for anharmonic PT symmetric potentials’ [Phys.
Scr. 85 (2012) 065005]**

Paolo Amore[†] and Francisco M Fernández [‡]

[†] Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Colima, Bernal Díaz del Castillo 340, Colima,
Colima, Mexico

E-mail: paolo.amore@gmail.com

[‡] INIFTA (UNLP, CCT La Plata-CONICET), División Química Teórica, Blvd. 113
S/N, Sucursal 4, Casilla de Correo 16, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

E-mail: fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

Abstract. We show that the authors of the commented paper draw their conclusions from the eigenvalues of truncated Hamiltonian matrices that do not converge as the matrix dimension increases. In one of the studied examples the authors missed the real positive eigenvalues that already converge towards the exact eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian operator and focused their attention on the complex ones that do not. We also show that the authors misread Bender’s argument about the eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator with boundary conditions in the complex- x plane (Rep. Prog. Phys. **70** (2007) 947).

1. Introduction

In a recent paper Bowen et al [1] discussed the spectra of a class of non-Hermitian hamiltonians having PT symmetry. They calculated the eigenvalues of truncated matrices for the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian operators in the basis set of the eigenfunctions of the harmonic oscillator and argued that their results did not agree with those of Bender and Boettcher [2]. They concluded that the discrepancy may be due to the fact that the WKB method used by the latter authors is unsuitable for such problems. For example, they stated that “It is certainly not obvious that the physical conditions of the Bohr-Sommerfeld procedure should be valid for this non-physical path. The significant differences in the spectrum studied in this paper suggests that it is not valid” and also that “It is not clear whether the motion along paths in the complex plane has any physical significance for quantization”. Curiously, the authors did not appear to pay attention to other methods for the calculation of the eigenvalues of those PT-symmetric Hamiltonians. For example, the WKB results were confirmed by numerical integration based on the Runge-Kutta algorithm [2,3] as well as by diagonalization of a truncated Hamiltonian matrix in the basis set of harmonic-oscillator eigenfunctions [2] (a more detailed description of this approach was given in an earlier version of the published paper [6]). In addition to it, Handy [4,5] obtained accurate upper and lower bounds from the moments equations.

The results, conclusions and criticisms of Bowen et al [1] are at variance with all what has been established after several years of study in the field of non-Hermitian PT-symmetric Hamiltonians [3]. The purpose of this comment is to analyse their calculations to verify if such criticisms are well founded. In section 2 we briefly review the diagonalization method used by the authors, in section 3 we analyse some of the models used by the authors to draw their conclusions; finally, in section 4 we summarize the main results and draw our own conclusions.

2. The method

Bowen et al [1] calculated the eigenvalues of the class of Hamiltonian operators

$$H = p^2 + sx^N \tag{1}$$

for $s = 1, -1, i$ and $N = 2, 3, 4, 6, 8$. They resorted to matrix representations of the operators in the basis set of eigenfunctions $\{|n\rangle, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ of the harmonic oscillator ($s = 1, N = 2$) and diagonalized truncated Hamiltonian matrices $\mathbf{H}^{(M)} = (H_{mn})_{m,n=0}^{M-1}$, where $H_{mn} = \langle m | H | n \rangle$, for each of those cases. If the eigenvalues of the truncated matrices converge as M increases then the limits of those sequences approach the eigenvalues of the operator (1).

The characteristic polynomial for the matrix $\mathbf{H}^{(M)}$ will exhibit M roots $W_n^{(M)}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$. In the case of Hermitian operators (for example, $s = 1$ and N even) all those roots are real because the matrix is Hermitian. It is not the case for the non-Hermitian operators. Furthermore, in the case of the Hermitian operators we know that the eigenvalues of the matrix approach the eigenvalues E_n of the operator from above $W_n^{(M)} > W_n^{(M+1)} > E_n$. On the other hand, there is no such variational principle in the case of non-Hermitian operators. Obviously, one has to be very careful when applying the diagonalization method (DM from now on) to non-Hermitian operators. In what follows we discuss some examples.

3. Examples

3.1. Case $N = 2$

When $s = 1$ the matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is diagonal and yields the eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator exactly: $E_n = 2n + 1$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. On the other hand, for $s = -1$ the eigenvalues of the matrix do not converge as M increases. Therefore, they are meaningless and bear no relation with the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian $H = p^2 - x^2$. Surprisingly, the authors argued that “This Hamiltonian has a spectrum with odd symmetry about zero energy”. If one solves the eigenvalue equation with the appropriate boundary conditions in the complex- x plane one obtains purely imaginary eigenvalues: $E_n = \pm(2n + 1)i$.

The authors went even further and stated that “Bender has also asserted that the spectrum of the simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) ($r = 0$) with a negative force constant has a discrete negative spectrum that is the negative of the positive force constant SHO; that is, $E_n = -\hbar\omega(n+1/2)$ ”. However, Bender [3] never draw such wrong conclusion. He discussed the harmonic oscillator $H = p^2 + \omega^2 x^2$ with eigenvalues $E_n(\omega) = (2n+1)\omega$ and eigenfunctions $\psi_n(\omega, x)$. The eigenfunctions behave asymptotically as $\psi_n(\omega, x) \sim e^{-\omega x^2/2}$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. If we substitute $-\omega$ for ω the eigenvalues change sign but the eigenfunctions are no longer square integrable. However, if we rotate the variable $\pi/2$ counterclockwise then the resulting eigenfunction $\varphi_n(\omega, q) = \psi_n(-\omega, iq)$ is square integrable. It is quite obvious that the substitution of $-\omega$ for ω changes the sign of the eigenvalues but the force constant ($\propto \omega^2$) does not change. In fact, Bender [3] states that “Notice that under the rotation that replaces ω by $-\omega$ the Hamiltonian remains invariant, and yet the signs of the eigenvalues are reversed!” Therefore, it seems that Bowen et al [1] misread Bender’s argument.

3.2. Case $N = 3$

When $s = 1$ the eigenvalues of the truncated matrices do not converge as M increases. However, the authors state that “Here the spectrum was almost symmetric about zero...” in spite of the fact that the roots of the secular determinants are not valid approximations to the eigenvalues of the differential operator.

The only interesting case is undoubtedly the PT-symmetric Hamiltonian operator for $s = i$. According to the authors “The calculation of the spectrum for the potential $V = ix^3$ yielded a complex spectrum”. In this case the wedges in the complex- x plane where $\psi(x)$ vanishes exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ contain the real axis [2]. Therefore, one expects the DM to yield meaningful results. Our calculation shows that the complex eigenvalues of the truncated matrices do not converge as M increases, but there are real ones that certainly converge towards the results obtained by Bender and Boettcher [2] by means of the WKB method and numerical integration. In fact, Bender and Boettcher [2, 6] discussed the calculation of the eigenvalues by means of the DM. They concluded that the method is only useful when $1 < N < 4$ and that the convergence to the exact eigenvalues is slow and not monotone because the Hamiltonians are not Hermitian. Table 1 shows the convergence of the lowest eigenvalues of the truncated matrices towards those obtained by means of the Runge-Kutta method (RK) and the WKB approach; they are real and positive as argued by Bender and Boettcher [6].

An interesting feature of the DM for non-Hermitian operators is that the characteristic polynomial of degree M does not exhibit M real roots as in the case of the Hermitian matrices. In the present case the truncated matrices also exhibit many complex eigenvalues but they do not converge as M increases. Another interesting feature is the behaviour of the approximate eigenvalues with respect to a scaling factor. Instead of using the eigenfunctions $\psi_n(x) = \langle x | n \rangle$ of the harmonic oscillator $p^2 + x^2$ we can try an alternative calculation with the scaled eigenfunctions $\alpha^{1/2}\psi_n(\alpha x)$, where α is an adjustable scaling factor. In the case of Hermitian operators $W_n^{(M)}(\alpha)$ exhibits a minimum because of the variational principle. On the other hand, in the case of the

complex potential $V = ix^3$ the approximate eigenvalue oscillates and exhibits a kind of plateau with oscillations of smaller amplitude. The optimal value of α is somewhere in this region. For example, we find that $\alpha \approx 1.4$ is more convenient than the scaling parameter $\alpha = 1$ used in the calculation shown in Table 1. However, it is our purpose to show here only the results for the same basis set chosen by Bowen et al [1].

3.3. Case $N \geq 4$

In these cases the DM only yields meaningful results for $s = 1$ and N even; that is to say: for the trivial Hermitian operators. When $s = -1$ or $s = i$ the eigenvalues of the truncated Hamiltonian matrices do not converge and, consequently, they are not eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian operator. The failure of the naive application of the DM to the PT-symmetric cases is not surprising because the wedges in the complex- x plane where $\psi(x)$ vanishes exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ do not contain the real axis [2].

The PT-symmetric Hamiltonian operator $H = p^2 - x^4$ deserves special attention because several authors have proved that it is isospectral to the Hermitian one $H = p^2 + 4x^4 - 2x$ [3] (and references therein). Apparently, Bowen et al [1] were not aware of this relationship that could have convinced them that the former Hamiltonian does already have a positive spectrum.

4. Conclusion

It is clear that the discrepancy between the results of Bowen et al [1] and Bender and Boettcher [2] is merely due to the fact that the DM used by the former authors does not apply to some of the problems studied. Their conclusions were based on eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian matrices that do not converge. They only obtained meaningful results for the trivial cases of Hermitian Hamiltonians given by $s = 1$ and N even. In the only other selected case where the DM is expected to yield reasonable results, namely $V(x) = ix^3$, the authors failed to find the converging real positive roots and simply focussed on the complex ones that do not converge.

Table 1. First eigenvalues of the truncated matrices of dimension M for $V(x) = ix^3$

M	E_0	E_1	E_2	E_3
10	1.156101684	3.73083496	-	-
15	1.156038818	4.14942907	-	-
20	1.156383056	4.109441589	-	-
25	1.156258544	4.109537412	7.553497517	-
30	1.156267013	4.109170441	7.562399797	11.24884001
35	1.156266986	4.109228991	7.562011977	11.31452225
40	1.156267082	4.109228365	7.562284307	11.31372188
45	1.156267072	4.109228831	7.562273020	11.31452360
50	1.156267072	4.109228753	7.562274330	11.31442188
55	-	4.109228754	7.562273854	11.31442413
60	-	4.109228753	7.562273860	11.31442176
65	-	4.109228753	7.562273854	11.31442184
70	-	4.109228753	7.562273855	11.31442182
75	-	-	7.562273855	11.31442182
80	-	-	-	11.31442182
RK	1.156267072	4.109228752	7.562273854	11.314421818
WKB	1.0943	4.0895	7.5489	11.3043

- [1] Bowen S P, Mancini J D, Fessatidis V, and Murawski R K 2012 *Phys. Scr.* **85** 065005.
- [2] Bender C M and Boettcher S 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 5243.
- [3] Bender C M 2007 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **70** 947.
- [4] Handy C R 2001 *J. Phys. A* **34** L271.
- [5] Handy C R and Wang X Q 2001 *J. Phys. A* **34** 8297.
- [6] Bender C M and Boettcher S 1997 Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having PT Symmetry arXiv:physics/9712001v1 [math-ph]